

Initial Algebras of Domains via Quotient Inductive-Inductive Types

Simcha van Collem, Niels van der Weide, Herman Geuvers

20 June 2025

Radboud University Nijmegen

Programming language semantics

- Domain theory is used to give semantics to programming languages
- Example
 - Fixpoints for general recursion
 - Partial functions
 - Lazy evaluation
 - Nondeterminism

What about arbitrary algebraic effects?

- Algebraic effects have
 - Algebraic operations
 - An inequational theory
- How can we construct them in domain theory?

What about arbitrary algebraic effects?

- Algebraic effects have
 - Algebraic operations
 - An inequational theory
- How can we construct them in domain theory?

Solution: construct them as **initial domain algebras**

These domain algebras should be

- A domain
- Have the operations
- Obey the inequational theory

- Framework for
 - describing algebraic effects
 - constructing them as initial algebras via a QIIT
- Examples:
 - Partiality¹
 - Powerdomain
 - Coalesced sum, Smash products, Coequalizer, ...
- Formalized in Cubical Agda

¹Altenkirch, Danielsson, and Kraus, “Partiality, Revisited - The Partiality Monad as a Quotient Inductive-Inductive Type”.

Directed Complete Partial Order (DCPO)

- DCPO: set D with information ordering \sqsubseteq
- Smaller elements contain less information
- Every **directed** family $\alpha : I \rightarrow D$ has a supremum $\bigsqcup_{i:I} \alpha(i)$

Directed Complete Partial Order (DCPO)

- DCPO: set D with information ordering \sqsubseteq
- Smaller elements contain less information
- Every **directed** family $\alpha : I \rightarrow D$ has a supremum $\bigsqcup_{i \in I} \alpha(i)$
 - Generalizes ω -CPO, where every **increasing** sequence $\alpha_0 \sqsubseteq \alpha_1 \sqsubseteq \dots$ has a supremum
 - The elements in the family are consistently moving upward towards more information

- Programs may not terminate
- So a program has either no outcome or a specified result
- Given DCPO D , we want a DCPO D_{\perp} , such that
 - For each result d in D , we have the same result in D_{\perp} ; written as $\eta(d)$
 - We have a result for non-termination: \perp in D_{\perp}
 - Non-termination gives the least information

Summary:

Two operations:

- $\eta : D \rightarrow D_{\perp}$
- $\perp : D_{\perp}$

One inequality:

- $\perp \sqsubseteq x$

- Non-termination gives the **least information**

Nondeterminism

- Nondeterministic programs could have **multiple** results
- So a program has a **set** of possible results
- Given a DCPO D , we want a DCPO $\mathcal{P}(D)$ of possible results, such that
 - For each result d in D , we have the result set with a **unique** result $\{d\}$ in $\mathcal{P}(D)$
 - Given result sets s_1, s_2 in $\mathcal{P}(D)$, we can **combine** their results as $s_1 \cup s_2$ in $\mathcal{P}(D)$
 - The union operation is
 - **commutative** and **associative**: order of combining does not matter
 - **idempotent**: combining a result set with itself, adds nothing

Nondeterminism

- Nondeterministic programs could have **multiple** results

Summary:

Two operations:

- $\{-\} : D \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(D)$
- $\cup : \mathcal{P}(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(D)$

Four inequalities:

- $x \cup y \sqsubseteq y \cup x$
- $(x \cup y) \cup z \sqsubseteq x \cup (y \cup z)$
- $x \cup x \sqsubseteq x$
- $x \sqsubseteq x \cup x$

We have

- A **DCPO**
 - with **Scott continuous operations**
 - operations of the form $X^B \times C \rightarrow X$
 - respecting certain **inequalities**
- } **Signature** Σ

We call this a **Σ -algebra**

- **morphisms** are Scott continuous maps commuting with the operations
- this forms a category **Σ -Alg**

Goal:

- construct the **initial Σ -algebra** using a **QIIT**

We have

- A **DCPO**

Scott continuity:

Operations should be monotone and send suprema to suprema, e.g.

$$\left\{ \bigsqcup_{i:I} \alpha(i) \right\} = \bigsqcup_{i:I} \{\alpha(i)\}$$

We can thus define a **Σ -algebra**

- **morphisms** are Scott continuous maps commuting with the operations
- this forms a category **Σ -Alg**

Goal:

- construct the **initial Σ -algebra** using a **QIIT**

We have

- A **DCPO**
 - with **Scott continuous operations**
 - operations of the form $X^B \times C \rightarrow X$
 - respecting certain **inequalities**
- } **Signature** Σ

We call this a **Σ -algebra**

- **morphisms** are Scott continuous maps commuting with the operations
- this forms a category **Σ -Alg**

Goal:

- construct the **initial Σ -algebra** using a **QIIT**

Quotient Inductive-Inductive Type (QIIT)

- Combination of QIT and IIT:
 - Define a type by specifying constructors and equations
 - Define a type A and a type family on A simultaneously
- Approach used by Altenkirch et al.² to construct the partiality effect
- We do it for arbitrary signature Σ

²Altenkirch, Danielsson, and Kraus, “Partiality, Revisited - The Partiality Monad as a Quotient Inductive-Inductive Type”.

We define $\mathcal{P}(D) : \mathcal{U}$ and $\sqsubseteq : \mathcal{P}(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ simultaneously via a QIIT as follows:

- We have constructors expressing that \sqsubseteq is a preorder
- We add a path constructor to make it a partial order
- We add constructors to make it directed complete
- Each operation gives rise to a constructor of $\mathcal{P}(D)$
- We have a path constructor to express the continuity of each operation
- Each inequality gives rise to a constructor for \sqsubseteq

We define $\mathcal{P}(D) : \mathcal{U}$ and $\sqsubseteq : \mathcal{P}(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ simultaneously via a QIIT as follows:

- We have constructors expressing that \sqsubseteq is a preorder
- We add a path constructor to make it a partial order
- We add constructors to make it directed complete
- Each operation gives rise to a constructor of $\mathcal{P}(D)$
- We have a path constructor to express the continuity of each operation
- Each inequality gives rise to a constructor for \sqsubseteq

We define $\mathcal{P}(D) : \mathcal{U}$ and $\sqsubseteq : \mathcal{P}(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ simultaneously via a QIIT as follows:

- We have constructors expressing that \sqsubseteq is a preorder
- We add a path constructor to make it a partial order
- We add constructors to make it directed complete
- Each operation gives rise to a constructor of $\mathcal{P}(D)$
- We have a path constructor to express the continuity of each operation
- Each inequality gives rise to a constructor for \sqsubseteq

We define $\mathcal{P}(D) : \mathcal{U}$ and $\sqsubseteq : \mathcal{P}(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ simultaneously via a QIIT as follows:

- We have constructors expressing that \sqsubseteq is a preorder
- We add a path constructor to make it a partial order
- We add constructors to make it directed complete
- Each operation gives rise to a constructor of $\mathcal{P}(D)$
- We have a path constructor to express the continuity of each operation
- Each inequality gives rise to a constructor for \sqsubseteq

We define $\mathcal{P}(D) : \mathcal{U}$ and $\sqsubseteq : \mathcal{P}(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ simultaneously via a QIIT as follows:

- We have constructors expressing that \sqsubseteq is a preorder
- We add a path constructor to make it a partial order
- We add constructors to make it directed complete
- Each operation gives rise to a constructor of $\mathcal{P}(D)$
- We have a path constructor to express the continuity of each operation
- Each inequality gives rise to a constructor for \sqsubseteq

We define $\mathcal{P}(D) : \mathcal{U}$ and $\sqsubseteq : \mathcal{P}(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ simultaneously via a QIIT as follows:

- We have constructors expressing that \sqsubseteq is a preorder
- We add a path constructor to make it a partial order
- We add constructors to make it directed complete
- Each operation gives rise to a constructor of $\mathcal{P}(D)$
- We have a path constructor to express the continuity of each operation
- Each inequality gives rise to a constructor for \sqsubseteq

We define $\mathcal{P}(D) : \mathcal{U}$ and $\sqsubseteq : \mathcal{P}(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ simultaneously via a QIIT as follows:

- We have constructors expressing that \sqsubseteq is a preorder
- We add a path constructor to make it a partial order
- We add constructors to make it directed complete
- Each operation gives rise to a constructor of $\mathcal{P}(D)$
- We have a path constructor to express the continuity of each operation
- Each inequality gives rise to a constructor for \sqsubseteq

Powerdomain QIIT (DCPO constructors)

$$\frac{}{x \sqsubseteq x}$$

$$\frac{x \sqsubseteq y \quad y \sqsubseteq z}{x \sqsubseteq z}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{isProp}(x \sqsubseteq y)}$$

$$\frac{x \sqsubseteq y \quad y \sqsubseteq x}{x = y}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{isSet}(\mathcal{P}(D))}$$

Powerdomain QIIT (DCPO constructors)

$$\frac{}{x \sqsubseteq x} \qquad \frac{x \sqsubseteq y \quad y \sqsubseteq z}{x \sqsubseteq z} \qquad \frac{}{\text{isProp}(x \sqsubseteq y)}$$

$$\frac{x \sqsubseteq y \quad y \sqsubseteq x}{x = y} \qquad \frac{}{\text{isSet}(\mathcal{P}(D))}$$

$$\sqcup : \prod_{\alpha: I \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(D)} \text{isDirected}(\alpha) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(D)$$

Powerdomain QIIT (DCPO constructors)

$$\frac{}{x \sqsubseteq x} \qquad \frac{x \sqsubseteq y \quad y \sqsubseteq z}{x \sqsubseteq z} \qquad \frac{}{\text{isProp}(x \sqsubseteq y)}$$

$$\frac{x \sqsubseteq y \quad y \sqsubseteq x}{x = y} \qquad \frac{}{\text{isSet}(\mathcal{P}(D))}$$

$$\sqcup : \prod_{\alpha: I \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(D)} \text{isDirected}(\alpha) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(D)$$

$$\frac{\alpha : I \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(D) \quad \delta : \text{isDirected}(\alpha)}{\prod_{i:I} \alpha(i) \sqsubseteq \sqcup_{i:I} \alpha(i)}$$

$$\frac{\alpha : I \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(D) \quad \delta : \text{isDirected}(\alpha)}{\prod_{v:\mathcal{P}(D)} \text{isUpperbound}(v, \alpha) \rightarrow \sqcup_{i:I} \alpha(i) \sqsubseteq v}$$

$$\{-\} : D \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(D) \qquad \cup : \mathcal{P}(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(D)$$

Powerdomain QIIT (Operations)

$$\{-\} : D \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(D) \qquad \cup : \mathcal{P}(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(D)$$

$$\frac{\alpha_1, \alpha_2 : I \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(D) \quad \text{isDirected}(\alpha_1) \quad \text{isDirected}(\alpha_2)}{\bigsqcup_{i:I} \alpha_1(i) \cup \bigsqcup_{i:I} \alpha_2(i) = \bigsqcup_{i:I} \alpha_1(i) \cup \alpha_2(i)}$$

Powerdomain QIIT (Operations)

$$\{-\} : D \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(D) \qquad \cup : \mathcal{P}(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(D)$$

$$\frac{\alpha_1, \alpha_2 : I \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(D) \quad \text{isDirected}(\alpha_1) \quad \text{isDirected}(\alpha_2)}{\bigsqcup_{i:I} \alpha_1(i) \cup \bigsqcup_{i:I} \alpha_2(i) = \bigsqcup_{i:I} \alpha_1(i) \cup \alpha_2(i)}$$

$$\frac{\alpha : I \rightarrow D \quad \text{isDirected}(\alpha)}{\{\bigsqcup_{i:I} \alpha(i)\} = \bigsqcup_{i:I} \{\alpha(i)\}}$$

Powerdomain QIIT (Inequalities)

$$\overline{x \cup y \sqsubseteq y \cup x}$$

$$\overline{(x \cup y) \cup z \sqsubseteq x \cup (y \cup z)}$$

$$\overline{x \cup x \sqsubseteq x}$$

$$\overline{x \sqsubseteq x \cup x}$$

We define $\text{Initial}_\Sigma : \mathcal{U}$ and $\sqsubseteq : \text{Initial}_\Sigma \rightarrow \text{Initial}_\Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ as a QIIT

- Constructors for DCPO structure stay the same
- For every operation named a in Σ , we add a constructor $\text{app}_a : (\text{Initial}_\Sigma)^B \times C \rightarrow \text{Initial}_\Sigma$ and constructors for its continuity
- For every inequality in Σ , we add a constructor

Different notion of continuity

Recall: $f : D \rightarrow E$ is continuous if

- f is monotone
- $f(\bigsqcup_{i:I} \alpha(i)) = \bigsqcup_{i:I} f(\alpha(i))$

³Jong and Escardó, “Domain Theory in Constructive and Predicative Univalent Foundations”.

Different notion of continuity

Recall: $f : D \rightarrow E$ is continuous if

- f is monotone
- $f(\bigsqcup_{i:I} \alpha(i)) = \bigsqcup_{i:I} \underbrace{f(\alpha(i))}$
Need monotonicity to show that $f \circ \alpha$ is directed

Instead, we define continuity as follows³:

- $f(\bigsqcup_{i:I} \alpha(i))$ is a supremum for $f \circ \alpha$

³Jong and Escardó, “Domain Theory in Constructive and Predicative Univalent Foundations”.

$$\text{app}_a : (\text{Initial}_\Sigma)^B \times C \rightarrow \text{Initial}_\Sigma$$

Actual constructors for operations and their continuity

$$\text{app}_a : (\text{Initial}_\Sigma)^B \times C \rightarrow \text{Initial}_\Sigma$$

$$\frac{\alpha : I \rightarrow (\text{Initial}_\Sigma)^B \times C \quad \delta : \text{isDirected}(\alpha)}{\prod_{i:I} \text{app}_a(\alpha(i)) \sqsubseteq \text{app}_a(\bigsqcup_{i:I} \alpha(i))}$$

Actual constructors for operations and their continuity

$$\text{app}_a : (\text{Initial}_\Sigma)^B \times C \rightarrow \text{Initial}_\Sigma$$

$$\frac{\alpha : I \rightarrow (\text{Initial}_\Sigma)^B \times C \quad \delta : \text{isDirected}(\alpha)}{\prod_{i:I} \text{app}_a(\alpha(i)) \sqsubseteq \text{app}_a(\bigsqcup_{i:I} \alpha(i))}$$

$$\frac{\alpha : I \rightarrow (\text{Initial}_\Sigma)^B \times C \quad \delta : \text{isDirected}(\alpha)}{\prod_{v:\text{Initial}_\Sigma} \text{isUpperbound}(v, \text{app}_a \circ \alpha) \rightarrow \text{app}_a(\bigsqcup_{i:I} \alpha(i)) \sqsubseteq v}$$

Elimination principles are as expected:

- For every Σ -algebra X , there exists an algebra morphism $\text{Initial}_\Sigma \rightarrow X$
- Using the induction principle, we can show uniqueness
- Thus Initial_Σ is indeed initial in $\Sigma\text{-Alg}$

To construct the free Σ -algebra for a DCPO D :

- Define signature $\Sigma + D$, by adding $D \rightarrow X$
- Consider $\text{Initial}_{\Sigma+D}$
- This is a Σ -algebra if we forget about the inclusion
- So $F(D) = (\text{Initial}_{\Sigma+D})^-$
- $\eta_D : D \rightarrow \text{Initial}_{\Sigma+D}$ is given by the inclusion of $\text{Initial}_{\Sigma+D}$

Conclusions

- Framework for describing algebraic effects via
 - Operations
 - Inequalities
- Construct them as initial algebras via a QIIT
- Free algebras
- Partiality, Powerdomain, Smash products, Coequalizers, ...
- Formalized in Cubical Agda



References



Altenkirch, Thorsten, Nils Anders Danielsson, and Nicolai Kraus. "Partiality, Revisited - The Partiality Monad as a Quotient Inductive-Inductive Type". In: *Foundations of Software Science and Computation Structures - 20th International Conference, FOSSACS 2017, Held as Part of the European Joint Conferences on Theory and Practice of Software, ETAPS 2017, Uppsala, Sweden, April 22-29, 2017, Proceedings*. Ed. by Javier Esparza and Andrzej S. Murawski. Vol. 10203. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. 2017, pp. 534–549. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-662-54458-7_31. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-54458-7%5C_31.



Jong, Tom de and Martín Hötzel Escardó. "Domain Theory in Constructive and Predicative Univalent Foundations". In: *29th EACSL Annual Conference on Computer Science Logic, CSL 2021, January 25-28, 2021, Ljubljana, Slovenia (Virtual Conference)*. Ed. by Christel Baier and Jean Goubault-Larrecq. Vol. 183. LIPIcs. Schloss Dagstuhl - Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, 2021, 28:1–28:18. DOI: 10.4230/LIPICS.CSL.2021.28.